

LEGAL STANDING OF WOMEN IN ANCIENT ATHENS

ĐORĐE TIMOTIJEVIĆ

SOPHOMORE STUDENT

UNIVERSITY OF BELGRADE – FACULTY OF LAW

1.Introduction

As suggested in the abstract this paper will cover legal standing of women mostly through the Athenian laws governing marriage, adoption, inheritance, property transfers, the treatment of orphans, and generally the protection of women who were legally unable to aid themselves. Therefore, this paper will cover the laws of most important Athenian lawgivers: Drakon, Solon, Perikles law on citizenship, woman as oikos, contraception and abortion, property, and other careers they could have undertaken. Athenian authors of the classical period imagined a mythical past where women were subject to similar restrictions in their legal standing and social roles as in their own timeframe. Greek Drama amply portrays female characters in the settings of Mycene, prehistoric Thebes, or Athens at the time of the kings. Those women resemble Athenian wives, concubines, mothers, sisters, or daughters in their roles and obey or disobey the same social conventions as 5th or 4th century Athenian women. In reality very little is known about the legal or social position of Athenian women until the time of Drakon, the first lawgiver of Athens. ¹

2.The Laws of Drakon

It is widely agreed that Drakon provided Athens with its first set of written laws amid widespread social discontent (621 BC). His legislation should probably be seen as an attempt to curb some of the excesses of the ruling aristocracy, and firmly establish the rule of law over the will of powerful families. Much of the legislation of Drakon was superceded by later statutes, but his homicide law, which remained valid throughout the classical period and until the end of the Athenian polis, introduces very firm regulations on male conduct towards free females under the authority of another man. The law of Drakon on justified homicide permitted a man to kill another man caught with his wife, mother, sister, daughter or concubine, that is, any woman under his legal protection. By doing so this law actually set several important legal definitions which were to remain in force for centuries.

¹ "Athenian Law in its Democratic Context," Harvard University's Center for Hellenic Studies. Str.59-60.

First, the law of Drakon probably enshrined into the letter of the law the existing concept of what is a family. By naming the female members of a man's household this law defined the family as a wider unit encompassing all free females and went as far as to include even slave-concubines. Slaves belonged to the family, if not as persons at least as valuable property. This widely defined entity, consisting of all the persons that lived in a household and all its assets was called oikos. The oikos was probably a very old concept, and despite some changes in its character and legal standing over time, essentially remained a constant in Greek life. Aristotle saw the city-state (polis) as a constellation of oikoi, and his remark certainly underlines the importance of the family-unit in Greek public as well as private life.

The second major implication of Drakon's homicide law was the recognition of the sanctity of the family and family life. No matter how powerful or influential a person was, he still did not have the right to enter someone's house and seduce or force the women under this man's authority, for the law provided the most efficient deterrent: self-help. The wronged man would not need to seek justice elsewhere; he could avenge his injured honor there and then. So, it seems that from early times the Athenian state made a firm commitment to protect the family and all individuals in it. The main reason behind it probably was the fact that the state had vested interests in the continuation of the citizen stock and the upholding of traditional values, and saw the family as the custodian of these important matters. ²

3. The Laws of Solon

The legislation of Drakon was largely replaced by that of Solon a generation later (594 BC). Solon considered carefully the role of the family in the institutions of the state, and was the first to introduce extensive social legislation. He probably introduced laws that covered marriage, adoption, inheritance, property transfers, the treatment of orphans, and generally the protection of the weaker members of society. It is also possible that Solon enshrined into law the concept of succession only by legitimate heirs, namely natural sons or daughters properly born in wedlock, or adopted heirs in the absence of natural legitimate sons. Moreover, he introduced laws on the orderly conduct of women, some of which were seemingly not enforced

² Dean-Jones, L.A., Women's Bodies in Classical Greek Science, August 1996. Str. 120-135

in the classical period. Solon probably introduced milder laws regulating cases of adultery, such as permitting the husband to abuse the seducer with impunity or accept financial compensation, in order to provide alternatives for the atonement of the injured man's honor without the need to resort to murder. In the same spirit, and in order to curb abuses of the Drakonian adultery laws, he introduced a law which stated that if a man is caught with a woman who practices some form of prostitution, either organized or free-lance, he cannot be accused of adultery. By doing so Solon perhaps unintentionally legalized and defined prostitution. Any woman who offered sexual favors for money placed herself outside the protective shield of the oikos. ³

4. Pericles' Law on Citizenship

The introduction of the Democratic Constitution in the late sixth century BC does not seem to have effected significant change in the role of the family, or the position of women in it. One major change introduced by Kleisthenes affected only males of citizen status: now they would need to register with the deme rather than the phratry in order to enter the citizen body. The phratries were traditional institutions with religious connotations. Kleisthenes bypassed them when he introduced the constitutional reform nowadays known as "Moderate Democracy," and set up the deme as the basis of public life, a rather secular and more egalitarian institution. However, women were excluded from the demes, as they did not participate in war and politics, and certainly non-citizens and slaves were also excluded. The democratic constitution was intended to broaden the basis of participation in public life as much as possible, but of course it would be unthinkable for women or slaves to be included in the ancient world, while the exclusion of resident aliens from politics still remains universal practice.

The first major change in the definition of the family under the democratic constitution came in 451 BC, when a law introduced by Pericles stated that only the offspring of two Athenian citizens could be citizens. The actual content and intention of the law have been intensely disputed in recent years; however, Aristotle is probably right when he says that Pericles wanted to reduce the number

³Kapparis, K., "When were the Athenian adultery laws introduced?" RIDA, 42 (1995). Str. 97-122.

of Athenian citizens. This law was introduced in the height of the Athenian empire, when the city was the center of the Hellenic world. Being an Athenian citizen meant to participate in decision-making that affected areas as far away as the Black Sea or the shores of Italy. It also came with privileged treatment before the institutions of the state, benefits and handouts. It is no wonder that the Athenians wanted to keep their numbers limited, manageable and functional. ⁴

Whatever the intentions of this particular law might have been, its implications upon family life were far-reaching. First it practically limited the marriage options of Athenian men to Athenian women, and less than a century later, in the first quarter of the 4th century BC, the state went one step further: it prohibited Athenian citizens to marry foreigners and imposed severe penalties for the pretence of lawful marriage between an Athenian and an alien. Second, the Periclean law formally recognized Athenian-born women as citizens in their own right, and sanctioned their role in the continuation of the citizen body. Women until then were participants of the polis only in the sphere of religion, where they could hold priestly offices, and perform ceremonial duties in public gatherings. After the Periclean citizenship law Athenian women are recognized as participants in the state, even if not fully, and this comes with certain obligations. Until then only the male party was considered legally responsible for the seduction of a free woman. However, probably not long after the Periclean citizenship law another law was introduced requiring the husband of an adulteress to divorce her under penalty of disfranchisement if he disobeyed, and imposing a ban from all public temples upon the adulteress herself. For the first time the woman would be held personally accountable by the law, and deprived from her privileges in public life if she misbehaved. Thus, by turning the spotlight on Athenian mothers the state was determined to protect the legitimacy of children born in Athenian families and make sure that those who receive citizenship truly are of citizen stock. 5

-

⁴ Patterson, C., Pericles Citizenship Law of 451-50 BC.

⁵ Just, R., Women in Athenian Law and Life, London 1989. Str. 112-128.

5. Women and citizenship

After the Periclean citizenship law a child would be of citizen status only if both parents were citizens. However, since the Athenians did not keep birth records, citizen identity was conferred upon the child gradually and it would mean different things for boys and girls. Traditionally a boy would be presented to the members of the phratry and possibly the genos or other such associations to which his father belonged not long after his birth. After the reforms of Kleisthenes membership of these bodies was not an obligatory requirement for citizenship, but most Athenians belonged to them, and failure to present a legitimately born citizen boy to these bodies might give rise to questions, and later prejudice his registration with the deme. Thus, the presentation to these bodies amounted to an early declaration of the boy's legitimacy and citizen status by the father to the community. Then the father or legal guardian had the obligation to educate the boy and teach him how to become a good citizen of Athens. In adolescence the boy would become a full member of the phratry or genos. When he reached his 18th year he would appear before the deme and seek registration sponsored by his father or legal guardian. Once he was registered with the deme he became a full citizen. If he was rejected by the deme, he could appeal the decision before the court, but this was risky: if he lost, he was sold as a slave.

For women the process was considerably different. There is some evidence that girls could be presented to the phratry, but this was not obligatory, and some Athenian men might not even bother presenting their daughters, as this had no real legal significance. This is why the evidence for presentation of girls to the phratry is rather sporadic. Girls were educated at home, and were taught how to become good mothers and prudent housewives, how to count, and in some households how to read and write. When time came, ideally while still in adolescence, they were given in marriage to an Athenian man. Girls were not registered with the deme. Precisely because it was not easy to prove or disprove objectively a woman's citizenship status, the state felt the need to introduce some safeguards in the early

4th century BC. A law imposed severe penalties upon a man who had tricked another man into marrying an alien woman by assuring him that she was Athenian.⁶

6.Metics and Slaves

The position of numerous aliens living in Athens ("metics") differed from the position of the Athenian citizens or slaves. They could not vote or be voted into office, but their property rights were protected and they could represent themselves in court, although in certain procedures they needed to use an Athenian agent (prostates). Marriages between metics were legally valid unions while their person and sanctity of family life were protected by Athenian law. As it happens in several countries today, non-citizens could not own real estate, unless given this right through a special resolution (egtesis) for good service to the state. The most coveted of privileges, Athenian citizenship, was only granted to aliens as an exceptional reward for great services to the Athenian people. However, in practice this reward rarely went to metics living in Athens; in the 4th century BC in particular it had degenerated into some sort of diplomatic gesture for important foreign leaders and dignitaries, who often had not consistently served the best interests of Athens. ⁷

The large slave population of Attica was mostly under private ownership, except for a small number of public slaves (demosioi). They had no rights, and had only very limited protection against abuse or injury. A mistreated slave could always ask to be sold to someone else, but besides that he or she would be completely at the mercy of the master. Slaves were valuable commodity, and an injured or disabled slave would be no good as he/she could lose much of their value. Attractive female slaves bought for the purposes of practicing prostitution would be groomed and pampered, and could be very expensive. Slaves kept as concubines might be treated with generosity and enjoy certain privileges at the discretion of the master. Unions between slaves and procreation were possible if the master permitted it. A 4th century BC Xenophon essay on good household management recommends allowing good slaves to have families as this would make them more cautious and

⁶ Patterson, C., The Family in Greek History, Cambridge, Mass. 1998. Str. 150-175.

⁷ Dillon, M., Girls and Women in Classical Greek Religion, London 2001. Str. 80-95.

more trustworthy. The children of such unions would be slaves owned by the master of the parents. A slave could be set free by the master as a reward for dedicated service, or sometimes he/she might be able to negotiate with a reasonable master a scheme whereby after a number of years of faithful service and hard work they could gain their freedom. Sometimes a slave could be set free under the condition that he/she would stay and work for the master after liberation for a stated period of time (paramone). Slaves were treated as human beings at their death. Religious scruple demanded the punishment of the killer of a slave (unless of course it was the master), and some burial rites were in order even for the lowliest slave. ⁸

7. Women the Oikos

A respectable woman's place was at home. There she should look after her children and her family, take care of the household, delegate duties to her servants, guard the property of the family, and make sure that domestic life runs smoothly. If a good wife had performed her duties properly her husband would not have to worry about family matters. Thus, he would be free to take care of the affairs of the outside world and act as the representative of his oikos in the polis. Ancient authors frequently state that a man's domain is outdoors, while a woman's domain is indoors. The leading male of the household (kyrios) had the legal duty to represent in court-cases the members of his household who could not carry such responsibility themselves, such as women, children and slaves. Other adult males of the household, such as unmarried brothers, a retired father, or an elderly uncle were legally independent, but still under the control of the kyrios, as he was the one in charge of the family property. ⁹

8. Contraception and Abortion

The Athenian kyrios did not have a right of life and death over the free members of his household, with the exception of newborn infants who had not yet been

⁸ Pomeroy, S.B., Xenophon Oeconomicus, Oxford 1994. Str. 285-300.

⁹ Patterson, C., The Family in Greek History, Cambridge, Mass. 1998. Str. 35-145.

formally acknowledged and thus recognized as free persons with certain rights. Shortly after birth a father still had the right to have a newborn killed. The exposure of infants has been a striking theme of fictional literature (Tragedy, New Comedy, Novel), but in reality, it rarely happened. Perhaps its frequency was higher in times of financial crisis (the final years of the Peloponnesian war), but on the whole the Athenians did not expose their infants more frequently. ¹⁰

Unwanted births could be controlled through contraception and abortion, but both procedures were neither safe nor foolproof. Athenian law said nothing on either contraception or abortion, presumably because Athens, like most Greek city-states, preferred a rather limited and manageable population, and therefore had no good reason to resist such practices on a collective scale. In fact, Plato and Aristotle recommend abortion in their utopian states as a method of population control. However, in the early 4th century BC, one case over an induced abortion ended up in court as a homicide procedure, but it seems that it was a long shot and led to nothing. Athenian women could attempt contraception and abortion without fear of the law. Contraception of course would be safer, but unfortunately, since the ancient world did not exactly understand how the process works, contemporary contraceptive methods often were nothing more than wishful thinking. Abortion might thus appear to be an inescapable necessity and a drastic last resort, especially for prostitutes, unmarried women and women who had conceived outside wedlock or with men other than their husbands. The Hippocratic corpus contains plentiful advice on oral drugs, pessaries, mechanical methods, and even a surgical procedure in order to induce an abortion. This advice was supposed to be used for therapeutic purposes only. However, it is self-evident that once this knowledge was organized in writing it could be used for abortions dictated by a wide range of circumstances. In fact, the author of the Hippocratic study "The Nature of the Child" describes how he helped a musician and expensive companion of rich men to have an abortion, and he does so without any concern for the moral implications of the case. The Hippocratic Oath, on the other hand, took a firm stance against abortion and banned it completely, probably because its author felt that a doctor's primary duty is the preservation of life not its destruction. 11

¹⁰ Patterson, C. "Not worth the rearing: the causes of infant exposure in ancient Greece" (1983). Str. 50-58.

¹¹ Lacey, W.K., The Family in Classical Greece, London 1968. Str. 66,70.

Abortions for aesthetic reasons do not seem to be a Greek phenomenon. Athenian women took pride in motherhood as they drew prestige and social status through their role as wives, mothers and matrons of respectable households. Better-off women might enjoy the luxury of a rather leisurely life at home, and were able to dedicate all their energy to the care of their family and household, and socialize with female friends and relatives. On special occasions they would dress up and go into town or to a sanctuary, participate in a festival and celebrate with the rest of the community. Athenian housewives were financially dependent upon their husbands, unless they were widowed with young children. In that case they could choose to remain in the house of their deceased husband, and take on the responsibility for the family assets. Occasionally they might need some help from male relatives in their transactions with the outside world, as respectability would not allow them to go to the financial centers of Athens and directly deal with strange men, but some of these independent-minded widows were definitely in charge. ¹²

9. Women and Property

Women from rich families would normally bring a large dowry into their new household, which would then be managed by the husband, even though he never owned it, and had to return it in its entirety in case of a divorce. The dowry was not a legal requirement, but it was a strong social convention and even poor people would still try to scrape together a small dowry for their daughters. The dowry was the standard route through which a woman inherited part of her father's property, if he also had male children. If the woman's father had no male heirs, she inherited the whole of his property and thus became an epikleros. The law of the state intervened in that case and ordered the closest male relative of her father in order of seniority to marry her and take control of the property that came with the woman. If he was already married, he could divorce his wife and marry the epikleros, or pass on the epikleros to the second closest relative, and so on. If no relative wanted to marry the poor epikleros, the archon, the senior magistrate of the state in charge of social affairs, was legally bound to compel the closest male relative of her father to provide her with a dowry of his own and find her a husband.

¹² Kapparis, K., Abortion in the Ancient World, London 2002. Str. 50-120

The laws regarding an epikleros have attracted a lot of attention in recent years, and have often been interpreted from a late 20th century point of view as a demonstration of complete disregard for the woman's feelings and wishes. Like an object she was to be whisked around until a man was found to take her and her property under his wing. However, this is not how Athenian eyes would have seen it. The Athenian state did not want to have stray, single females around because then someone would need to take over the responsibility of looking after them. Women could not represent themselves in court, most of them did not have sufficient skills to earn a comfortable living independently, and many would be unprepared from their upbringing for the trappings and difficulties of the outside world. This is why the state with firm and clear legislation made certain that no freeborn female would be abandoned to fend for herself in a harsh world. The institution of the epikleros was established to protect her, and the state trusted that her father's closest male relative should be the most suitable man to do just that. ¹³

The fact that the woman might not love a husband imposed upon her would not be considered important by most Athenians. Normally, marriages were not based on love but on the prospect of a good partnership for the future. Love and respect between husband and wife were hopefully going to develop as time went by. In some cases, of course, infatuation could be there in the first place and Athenian men sometimes did marry attractive women, simply because they fancied them, but this was not the rule. Now, if we judge from the extremely low divorce rate in Athens, compared with the soaring divorce figures of our times, perhaps we may become less critical of this kind of Athenian attitudes towards marriage and family life. ¹⁴

10.Other Careers

For less well-off women some of these parameters and moral standards were not applicable, as they often needed to work in harsh conditions in order to support their families. It would be easy for a financially comfortable matron to seek a

¹³ Schaps, D.M., Economic Rights of Women in Ancient Greece, Edinburgh 1979. Str. 50, 120-125.

¹⁴ Schaps, D.M., Economic Rights of Women in Ancient Greece, Edinburgh 1979. Str. 70-99.

respectable life away from the crowds. If the poor Athenian's women husband was dead or away on military service and she had no rich relatives to support her, she would need to become the man and the woman of the household, feed her children, take care of their upbringing and face all the pressures that working single parents with a modest income had to face throughout history. Job opportunities for women were limited, and those that existed were to be found mainly in the health sector (nursing and midwifery), small businesses, petty trading, and small-scale manufacturing. This is why some found it easier to follow the path of prostitution, with its sudden rewards but also its many dangers. Male and female prostitution was permitted by Athenian law, and treated in a similar manner as other disreputable but necessary jobs. Male prostitutes should refrain from advising the assembly, accept certain offices or serve as one of the nine archons (because of the extensive religious responsibilities of these offices), but otherwise they could live as they wished. Free-lance prostitutes had a higher chance of a better life as they could make more money, regulate their working hours, and take control of their lives. High class prostitutes, the famous hetairai of the ancient world, lived a life of wealth and luxury while they were at their prime, and had a much better chance of finding someone willing to take them as concubines and allow them to live their mature years in respectability. Unlike most women in the ancient world these hetairai received an education, were given long lessons on good social skills, and were taught in a manner that would allow them to develop a charming personality. Some of these women played an important role at the side of influential men, while some others were the only women in the ancient world who were able and willing to live independently without a man at their side. If they were free-born or already liberated from slavery, they could put aside some of their earnings, amass a large fortune, and then spend it as they fancied, and were not limited by the boundaries which respectable women had to observe. 15

11. List of references

"Athenian Law in its Democratic Context", Harvard University's Center for Hellenic Studies.

_

¹⁵ Schaps, D.M., Economic Rights of Women in Ancient Greece, Edinburgh 1979. Str. 110-125

Dean-Jones, L.A., Women's Bodies in Classical Greek Science, August 1996.

Dillon, M., Girls and Women in Classical Greek Religion, London 2001.

Just, R., Women in Athenian Law and Life, London 1989

Kapparis, K., Abortion in the Ancient World, London 2002.

Kapparis, K., "When were the Athenian adultery laws introduced?" RIDA, 42 (1995) 97-122.

Lacey, W.K., The Family in Classical Greece, London 1968.

Patterson, C. "Not worth the rearing: the causes of infant exposure in ancient Greece" (1983).

Patterson, C., Pericles Citizenship Law of 451-50 BC, Salem, NH, 1981.

Patterson, C., The Family in Greek History, Cambridge, Mass. 1998.

Pomeroy, S.B., Xenophon Oeconomicus, Oxford 1994.

Schaps, D.M., Economic Rights of Women in Ancient Greece, Edinburgh 1979.